Global Geographic Location Encoding with Implicit Neural (Geo)Representations

Marc Rußwurm

Assistant Professor Machine Learning and Remote Sensing Wageningen University

ELLIT Focus Period Linköping

Oct 15th 2024



collaborators: Konstantin Klemmer, Esther Rolf, Robin Zbinden, Devis Tuia, Lester Mckay, Caleb Robinson





Outline

1 Spatial Modeling meets Implicit Neural Representations

> 2 Siren(SH) Location Encoder Rußwurm et al., ICLR 2024

> > 3 SatCLIP Encoder Klemmer et al., 2024 – In review

Research Fields using Geolocated Data

representing Earth's Gravity



Species Mapping Cole et al., 2023



Bat-eared Fox

Toy Example: Land-Ocean Classification

- Output y: 2 classes land 0 and ocean 1
- Input: longitude, latitude
- Train: 10k points (random)
- Validation: 10k points (random)
- Test: 10k (grid)



we learn a continuous function over space

Spatial Modeling

we learn a continuous function over space

In traditional geostatistics, we use various interpolation techniques, for examples Gaussian Processes (or "Kriging"):



Idea: Implicitly encode data in a neural network

we learn a continuous function over space Neural Network predicted coordinates ground truth vloss adjust weights Ground truth: Land-Ocean Classification Epoch 400 Epoch 100 Epoch longitude longitude longitude

Idea: Implicitly encode data in a neural network



we learn an **implicit neural representation** of the training data



Implicit neural representations are common in Vision

Neural Implicit Radiance Fields (NeRFs)





Mildenhall, B., Srinivasan, P. P., Tancik, M., Barron, J. T., Ramamoorthi, R., & Ng, R. (2021). Nerf: Representing scenes as neural radiance fields for view synthesis. *Communications of the ACM*, *65*(1), 99-106.

Implicit Neural Representations for Species Mapping



Cole et al., 2023. Spatial Implicit Neural Representations for Global-Scale Species Mapping. International Conference on Machine Learning (ICML)

Sinusoidal Representation Networks (Siren)



Vincent Sitzmann, Julien N. P. Martel, Alexander W. Bergman, David B. Lindell, and Gordon Wetzstein. 2020. Implicit Neural Representations with Periodic Activation Functions. NeurIPS 2020 Geographic Location Encoding with Spherical Harmonics and Sinusoidal Representation Networks

International Conference on Learning Representations 2024

<u>Marc Rußwurm, Konstantin Klemmer, Esther Rolf,</u> <u>Robin Zbinden, Devis Tuia</u>



LINEAR "Neural Network"



SPHERICAL HARMONICS

Location Encoder: Positional Embedding & Neural Network



Review of location encoders:

Mai, G., Janowicz, K., Hu, Y., Gao, S., Yan, B., Zhu, R., ... & Lao, N. (2022). **A review of location encoding for GeoAI**: methods and applications. International Journal of Geographical Information Science, 36(4), 639-673.

Our Proposition: Siren(SH(λ, ϕ))



Spherical Harmonics basis functions (non-parametric function)

Sinusoidal Representation Networks (with trainable weights)

Rußwurm, M., Klemmer, K., Rolf, E., Zbinden, R., & Tuia, D. (2024). Geographic location encoding with spherical harmonics and sinusoidal representation networks. International Conference for Learning Representations.

Spherical Harmonic (SH)





Earth's Gravity Field





Image source wikipedia

See, for instance, Pail, Roland, et al. "First GOCE gravity field models derived by three different approaches." *Journal of Geodesy* 85 (2011): 819-843.

Spherical Harmonics as Positional Encoder











longitude



longitude

$$f(\lambda,\phi) = \sum_{l=0}^{\infty} \sum_{m=-l}^{l} w_l^m Y_l^m(\lambda,\phi)$$



Adding Siren adds additional capacity



Adding Siren helps resolution





For Linear(SH): max resolution (in degree) $f_{\text{max}} = \frac{180^{\circ}}{2L}$

Quantitative Results





*i*Naturalist

Land-Ocean classification accuracy

iNaturalist 2018 accuracy improvement

$PE \downarrow NN \rightarrow$	LINEAR	FCNET	SirenNet	$PE \downarrow NN \rightarrow$	LINEAR	FCNET	SirenNet
DIRECT	71.4 ± 0.0	90.3 ± 0.7	95.1 ± 0.3	DIRECT	-5.9 ± 0.1	$+9.3\pm0.3$	$+12.1\pm0.1$
CARTESIAN3D	70.5 ± 3.5	92.7 ± 0.3	92.8 ± 0.3	CARTESIAN3D	$+0.8\pm0.2$	$+11.8\pm0.1$	$+12.0\pm0.1$
WRAP	74.4 ± 0.3	93.2 ± 0.3	95.2 ± 0.2	WRAP	-0.1 ± 0.1	$\pm 12.1 \pm 0.1$	$+12.1\pm0.1$
Grid	81.7 ± 0.1	95.1 ± 0.1	95.5 ± 0.2	Grid	$+11.2\pm0.1$	$\overline{+11.8\pm0.2}$	$+11.6\pm0.4$
Theory	86.9 ± 0.1	94.9 ± 0.2	95.5 ± 0.1	THEORY	$+11.5\pm0.0$	$+10.8\pm0.0$	$+11.4\pm0.1$
SphereC	79.6 ± 0.2	95.0 ± 0.3	95.2 ± 0.1	SphereC	$+11.2\pm0.1$	$+12.0\pm0.2$	$+12.3\pm0.1$
SphereC+	84.6 ± 0.2	95.3 ± 0.1	95.5 ± 0.1	SphereC+	$+11.1\pm0.2$	$+11.5\pm0.3$	$+10.3\pm0.4$
SphereM	74.0 ± 0.0	89.1 ± 0.1	88.3 ± 0.4	SphereM	$+7.2\pm0.2$	$+11.3\pm0.2$	$+10.6\pm0.6$
SphereM+	81.9 ± 0.2	92.1 ± 0.3	93.7 ± 0.1	SphereM+	$+11.6\pm0.1$	$+12.0\pm0.1$	$+10.7\pm0.2$
SH (ours)	$\underline{94.4\pm0.1}$	95.9 ± 0.1	$\underline{95.8\pm0.1}$	SH (ours)	$+10.5\pm0.1$	$+12.0\pm0.0$	$+12.3\pm0.2$

image-only: 59.2% top-1 accuracy with encoder NN(PE) \uparrow

Spherical Harmonics work well with all NNs

Siren is work well with all PEs

Siren works with without positional embedding (on moderate latitudes)

latitude





Longitudinal Accuracy – Checkerboard Classification



Longitudinal Accuracy – Checkerboard Classification



A location encoder for a general representation of location (according to Satellite images)



SatCLIP: Global, General-Purpose Location Embeddings with Satellite Imagery

https://arxiv.org/abs/2311.17179

Konstantin Klemmer, Esther Rolf, Caleb Robinson, Lester Mackey, Marc Rußwurm



Next Step – learn a descriptive vector of any location λ, ϕ

Previous Part: Location Encoding

how to store spatial data in a location encoder representation?



how can we semantically describe a location?

- with Siren(SH) as location encoder
 strong-supervised loss function
 - like cross-entropy/mean squared error

we always need labelled training/support data

technically the same application field as classic methods like interpolation, Gaussian Processes/Kriging

- 1. with Siren(SH) as location encoder
- trained with a loss function that defines what we mean by "semantic description"

Geolocalization (i.e., Geoguesser) as contrastive pretext task





Geolocation pre-text task to extract location description

But how can we **pretrain location encoders without labels**?



Geolocation pre-text task to extract location description

But how can we pretrain location encoders without labels?



Implementation as Contrastive Location-Image Pretraining



Same training objective as in Contrastive Language-Image Pretraining (CLIP) Radford et al., 2021 Learning transferable visual models from natural language supervision. ICML

Intuition behind SatCLIP: distill location-specific patterns





Imagery ©2023 Airbus, CNES / Airbus, Landsat / Copernicus, Maxar Technologies

Light-weight Implicit Neural Geo-representation (INGR)

pre-trained SatCLIP (L=40) embeddings (3-PCA visualization of 256 dimensions)



SatCLIP embeddings cluster-well with Biomes





Spatial similarity leads to confusions!

If locations in different parts of the world have similar images, SatCLIP **aligns the embedding space**.



Spatial Similarity – Challenging Tober's rule

By learning similarities between global locations based on visual similarity



Pre-trained models at two "smoothnesses" L10, L40



SatCLIP-ResNet18-L10: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-resnet18-l10.ckpt SatCLIP-ResNet18-L40: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-resnet18-l40.ckpt SatCLIP-ResNet50-L10: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-resnet50-l10.ckpt SatCLIP-ResNet50-L40: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-resnet50-l40.ckpt SatCLIP-ViT16-L10: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-resnet50-l40.ckpt SatCLIP-ViT16-L10: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-vit16-l10.ckpt SatCLIP-ViT16-L40: wget https://satclip.z13.web.core.windows.net/satclip/satclip-vit16-l40.ckpt

More implementation info on https://github.com/microsoft/satclip

Usages of SatCLIP – Microsoft Bing Maps AI Team

Models trained on Western countries fail to segment buildings in Africa.



Usages of SatCLIP – GeoSynth

Researchers are already **adapting SatCLIP**! For example, to guide diffusion models:



Sastry, Srikumar et al. (2024) GeoSynth: Contextually-aware high-resolution satellite image synthesis. *EarthVision, CVPR.*

Ongoing Master Thesis – Disease Mapping in Spain

SatCLIP embedding as a proxy for environmental variables (visible in satellite images) for disease modeling.





Universidad Pública de Navarra Nafarroako Unibertsitate Publikoa



Figure 1. The Spanish municipalities [27] used for this research.

Location-specific calibration of classification MOdels





Rußwurm, M., Wang, S., Korner, M., & Lobell, D. (2020). Meta-learning for few-shot land cover classification. In Proceedings of the ieee/cvf conference on computer vision and pattern recognition workshops (pp. 200-201).

Rußwurm, M., Wang, S., Kellenberger, B., Roscher, R., & Tuia, D. (2024). Meta-learning to address diverse Earth observation problems across resolutions. Communications Earth & Environment, 5(1), 37.

Recap & Takeaways - 1

 Spatial Modeling meets Implicit Neural Representations

Gaussian Process/Kriging



We learn a continuous function over space:

- 1. with classic methods like interpolation and/or Kriging
- 2. by fitting/learning a neural network to reproduce the data





As location encoder, we recommend:

- 1. Siren as Neural Network for any location encoding problem and
- 2. Spherical Harmonic basis functions for global geographic problems where the spherical geometry matter



- continuous spatial representation of visual similarity
- by training a location encoder on geolocation (i.e., playing Geoguesser)

3 SatCLIP Encoder Klemmer et al., 2024 – In submission

Thank you & happy to take questions!

Geographic Location Encoding:

Rußwurm et al., 2024 https://marcrusswurm.com/locationencoder

SatCLIP: Klemmer et al., 2024

https://github.com/microsoft/satclip https://arxiv.org/pdf/2311.17179.pdf

⊙ Watch 14 -

ሧ Fork 22









Marc Rußwurm, marc.russwurm@wur.nl

Assistant Professor Machine Learning & Remote Sensing

Wageningen University, Netherlands

Microsoft

Collaborators: Konstantin Klemmer, Esther Rolf, Robin Zbinden, Devis Tuia, Caleb Robinson, Lester Mackey