

# Binary Models for Opinion Dynamics and Interpersonal Relationships via Influence and Homophily Mechanisms

Giulia De Pasquale

Joint Work with M. Elena Valcher

Focus Period Linköping 2023

September 11, 2023

- Motivation
- Part 1: A binary homophily based model
- Part 2: Intertwined homophily and influence based model
- Conclusion

- **Motivation**
- Part 1: A binary homophily based model
- Part 2: Intertwined homophily and influence based model
- Conclusion

Nowadays opinions and interpersonal relationship evolve over time scales that are comparable in magnitude, especially in online social networks.

/ both opinions and networks are time-variant



Understanding the network evolution leads to more reliable models that mimic the reaching of desired configurations that minimize cognitive dissonance.

Only the type of relationships between individuals can be determined

- ! easier to infer
- ! people might not want to reveal intensity of relationships
- ! intensity of a relationships might be irrelevant

# Part I

## A Binary Homophily Model for Opinion Dynamics

- Model Description
- Equilibrium Points
- $(V; \cdot)$ -Factorization
- Non Structurally Balanced Equilibria

- Model Description
- Equilibrium Points
- $(V; \cdot)$ -Factorization
- Non Structurally Balanced Equilibria



Let us consider a network made up of  $N$  agents.

$$x_{ij}(t) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } i \text{ has a good opinion of } j \\ -1 & \text{if } i \text{ has a bad opinion of } j \end{cases}$$

$X(t) = [x_{ij}(t)]$ , matrix in which the relationships among agents are collected.

Upon defining

$$A_{ij}(t) := \sum_{k \in [1; N]; k \neq i; j} x_{ik}(t)x_{jk}(t) = 1g;$$

$$D_{ij}(t) := \sum_{k \in [1; N]; k \neq i; j} x_{ik}(t)x_{jk}(t) = 1g;$$

we have that

$$x_{ij}(t+1) = \begin{cases} \geq 1; & \text{if } |A_{ij}(t)| > |D_{ij}(t)|; \\ > 1; & \text{if } |A_{ij}(t)| < |D_{ij}(t)|; \\ x_{ij}(t); & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

**Homophily mechanism:** Individuals with similar opinions tend to be friendly to each other

$$x_{ij}(t+1) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } |A_{ij}(t)| > |D_{ij}(t)|; \\ x_{ij}(t) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In matrix form leads to

$$X(t+1) = \text{sign} \left( X(t) \text{diag}(X(t)) - X(t) \text{diag}(X(t)) \right) + X(t)$$

Where  $X(t) = [x_{ij}(t)]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ ,  $x_{ij} \in \{0,1\}$ .

$$S_1^N := \{M \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times N} : [M]_{ii} = 1; \forall i \in [1; N]g\}$$

Positively invariant set.

- Model Description
- **Equilibrium Points**
- $(V; \cdot)$ -Factorization
- Non Structurally Balanced Equilibria

A matrix  $X \in S_1^N$  is an *equilibrium point* for the binary homophily model if

$$X(0) = X \implies X(t) = X \quad \forall t \geq 0:$$

## Proposition

A matrix  $X \in S_1^N$  is an equilibrium point if and only if

$$X = \text{sign}((X - I)^2 - X).$$

Remark: If  $X \in S_1^N$  is such that  $G(X)$  is structurally balanced, i.e. there exists  $x \in \{-1, 1\}^N$  such that  $X = xx^T$ , then the condition expressed above is trivially satisfied.




Figure: Complete structurally balanced network.

For certain values of  $N$ , the model admits only structurally balanced equilibrium points.

## Proposition

*Given a network of  $N$  agents, with  $N \in \{3, 4, 5, 7\}$ , if  $X^*$  is an equilibrium point for the binary homophily model, then  $G(X^*)$  is structurally balanced.*

- Model Description
- Equilibrium Points
- $(V; \cdot)$ -Factorization
- Non Structurally Balanced Equilibria





Consider  $X = V \ V^>$

$$X = \begin{matrix} 2 & & & & 3 \\ \begin{matrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} v_1 v_1^> & 12 v_1 v_2^> & \dots & 1k v_1 v_k^> \\ 12 v_2 v_1^> & v_2 v_2^> & \dots & 2k v_2 v_k^> \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1k v_k v_1^> & 2k v_k v_2^> & \dots & v_k v_k^> \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 7 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

- The product  $v_i v_j^>$ ;  $i \geq [1; k]$ , corresponds to a structurally balanced subclass,  $C_i$ , with  $n_i = |C_i| = \dim(v_i)$ , in  $G(X)$ .
- The class  $C_i$  splits into two adverse factions,  $C_{iA}$  and  $C_{iB}$ , each of them consisting of agents that are friends.
- $ij$  represents the relation between agents in class  $C_i$  and in  $C_j$ .

Consider  $X = V \ V^>$

$$X = \begin{matrix} 2 & & & & 3 \\ \begin{matrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} v_1 v_1^> & 12 v_1 v_2^> & \dots & 1k v_1 v_k^> \\ 12 v_2 v_1^> & v_2 v_2^> & \dots & 2k v_2 v_k^> \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1k v_k v_1^> & 2k v_k v_2^> & \dots & v_k v_k^> \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

- The product  $v_i v_j^>$ ;  $i, j \in [1; k]$ , corresponds to a structurally balanced subclass,  $C_{ij}$ , with  $n_{ij} = |C_{ij}| = \dim(v_i)$ , in  $G(X)$ .
- The class  $C_{ij}$  splits into two adverse factions,  $C_{iA}$  and  $C_{iB}$ , each of them consisting of agents that are friends.
- $ij$  represents the relation between agents in class  $C_i$  and in  $C_j$ .

Consider  $X = V \ V^>$

$$X = \begin{matrix} 2 & & & & 3 \\ \begin{matrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} v_1 v_1^> & & & \\ 12 v_2 v_1^> & v_2 v_2^> & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1k v_k v_1^> & 2k v_k v_2^> & \cdots & v_k v_k^> \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 1k v_1 v_k^> \\ 2k v_2 v_k^> \\ \vdots \\ v_k v_k^> \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

- The product  $v_i v_i^>$ ;  $i \in [1; k]$ , corresponds to a structurally balanced subclass  $C_i$ , with  $n_i = |C_i| = \dim(v_i)$ , in  $G(X)$ .
- The class  $C_i$  splits into two adverse factions  $C_{iA}$  and  $C_{iB}$ , each of them consisting of agents that are friends.
- $v_{ij}$  represents the relation between agents in class  $C_i$  and in  $C_j$ .

Consider  $X = V \ V^>$

$$X = \begin{matrix} 2 & & & & 3 \\ \begin{matrix} 6 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} v_1 v_1^> & & & \\ 12 v_2 v_1^> & v_2 v_2^> & & \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1k v_k v_1^> & 2k v_k v_2^> & \cdots & v_k v_k^> \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \\ \vdots \vdots \vdots \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} \\ \\ \\ \\ \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

- The product  $v_i v_i^>$ ;  $i \in [1; k]$ , corresponds to a structurally balanced subclass  $C_i$ , with  $n_i = |C_i| = \dim(v_i)$ , in  $G(X)$ .
- The class  $C_i$  splits into two adverse factions  $C_{iA}$  and  $C_{iB}$ , each of them consisting of agents that are friends.
- $i_j$  represents the relation between agents in class  $C_i$  and in  $C_j$ .

Consider  $X = V \ V^>$

$$X = \begin{matrix} \begin{matrix} 2 \\ 6 \\ 4 \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} v_1 v_1^> & 12 v_1 v_2^> & \dots & 1k v_1 v_k^> \\ 12 v_2 v_1^> & v_2 v_2^> & \dots & 2k v_2 v_k^> \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 1k v_k v_1^> & 2k v_k v_2^> & \dots & v_k v_k^> \end{matrix} & \begin{matrix} 3 \\ 7 \\ 7 \\ 5 \end{matrix} \end{matrix}$$

- The product  $v_i v_i^>$ ;  $i \in [1; k]$ , corresponds to a structurally balanced subclass  $C_i$ , with  $n_i = |C_i| = \dim(v_i)$ , in  $G(X)$ .
- The class  $C_i$  splits into two adverse factions  $C_{iA}$  and  $C_{iB}$ , each of them consisting of agents that are friends.
- $j_i$  represents the relation between agents in class  $C_i$  and in  $C_j$ .

- Model Description
- Equilibrium Points
- $(V; )$ -Factorization
- **Non Structurally Balanced Equilibria**

## Proposition

A matrix  $X \in S_1^N$  is an equilibrium point for the binary homophily model if and only if

$$X_{ij} = \text{sign}(N_{ij}) ;$$

where  $V \in S_1^k$  is the matrix involved in a  $(V; \cdot)$ -factorization of  $X$ ,  $N := V^T V = n_1 \quad n_2 \quad \dots \quad n_k$  and  $n_1; \dots; n_k$  are the sizes of the vectors  $v_i$  appearing in  $V$ .

Remark: For  $N \geq 6$  there exist equilibrium points  $X \in S_1^N$  associated to a non structurally balanced graph  $G(X)$ .

## Proposition

A matrix  $X \in S_1^N$  is an equilibrium point for the binary homophily model if and only if

$$X_{ij} = \text{sign}(N_{ij}) ;$$

where  $V \in S_1^k$  is the matrix involved in a  $(V; \cdot)$ -factorization of  $X$ ,  $N := V^T V = n_1 \quad n_2 \quad \dots \quad n_k$  and  $n_1; \dots; n_k$  are the sizes of the vectors  $v_i$  appearing in  $V$ .

Remark: For  $N \geq 6$  there exist equilibrium points  $X \in S_1^N$  associated to a non structurally balanced graph  $G(X)$ .



## Proposition

If  $x \in S_1^3$ , then one can always find positive integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  such that

$$x = \text{sign}(N \quad ) ;$$

where  $N := n_1 \quad n_2 \quad n_3$ :

If  $x \in S_1^3$  fulfils  $x = \text{sign}(N \quad )$  it is always possible to find a block-diagonal matrix  $V$  such that  $x = V \quad V^>$  is an equilibrium point.

## Proposition

If  $\mathcal{S}_1^3$ , then one can always find positive integers  $n_1, n_2, n_3$  such that

$$= \text{sign}(N) ;$$

where  $N := n_1 \quad n_2 \quad n_3$ :

If  $\mathcal{S}_1^3$  fulfils  $= \text{sign}(N)$  it is always possible to find a block-diagonal matrix  $V$  such that  $X = V^{-1} V^>$  is an equilibrium point.

- A binary homophily model for the opinion dynamics has been proposed
- Necessary and sufficient conditions for a configuration to be an equilibrium point have been provided.
  - All structurally balanced configurations are equilibrium points.
  - There can be structurally unbalanced equilibrium points.
- The  $(V; \cdot)$ -factorization provides an alternative characterization of the equilibrium points.
- Social networks of sufficiently large size exhibit equilibrium points whose graph splits into three structurally balanced classes.

## Part II

# A Bandwagon Bias Based Model for Opinion Dynamics: Intertwining between Homophily and Influence Mechanisms

- The model
- Equilibrium points
- Convergence properties
- Simulations
- Conclusion

- **The model**
- Equilibrium points
- Convergence properties
- Simulations
- Conclusion

Interpersonal appraisals and individual opinions evolve in an intertwined way: mathematical abstraction of handwagon bias. The model constitutes the mixed real/binary version of a model presented in [1].

---

<sup>1</sup>[1] F. Liu, S. Cui, W. Mei, F. Dör er, and M. Buss. Interplay between homophily-based appraisal dynamics and in uence-based opinion dynamics: Modeling and analysis. IEEE Control Systems Letters, 5(1):181–186, 2020.

Bandwagon bias is a cognitive bias according to which our opinions on topics are influenced by the opinions that other individuals have on the same topics and by the relationships we have with those individuals.



Given a group of  $N$  agents

- $X(t) = X^>(t) \in \{ -1; 0; 1 \}^{N \times N}$ , appraisal network at time  $t$ 
  - $X_{ij}(t) = -1=0= 1$  if  $i$  has a **positive/neutral/negative** feeling towards  $j$  at time  $t$
- $Y(t) \in \mathbb{R}^{N \times m}$ , opinion matrix at time  $t$ 
  - $Y_{ij}(t)$  opinion agent  $i$  has on topic  $j$ , at time  $t$ .

Opinion matrix and appraisal matrix evolve in an intertwined way

$$X_{ij}(t+1) = \operatorname{sgn} \left( \sum_{k=1}^N Y_{ik}(t) Y_{jk}(t) \right)$$

$$Y_{ij}(t+1) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_{ik}(t+1) Y_{kj}(t)$$

that in matrix form reads as

$$X(t+1) = \operatorname{sgn}(Y(t)Y(t)^T)$$

$$Y(t+1) = \frac{1}{N} X(t+1)Y(t)$$

$$X_{ij}(t + 1) = \text{sgn} \prod_{k=1}^M Y_{ik}(t) Y_{jk}(t)$$
$$Y_{ij}(t + 1) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{k=1}^N X_{ik}(t + 1) Y_{kj}(t):$$

- **Homophily mechanism:** Individuals with similar opinions tend to be friendly to each other
- **In uence mechanism:** Each individual's opinion is in uenced by the others' opinions proportionally to her/his appraisal of them.

The two equations can be grouped in

$$Y(t + 1) = \frac{1}{N} \operatorname{sgn}(Y(t)Y(t)^T) Y(t):$$

The mathematical abstraction of the bandwagon bias leads to a peculiar form of opinion dynamics model.

Assumption:  $Y(0)$  is devoid of zero columns/rows.

- The model
- **Equilibrium points**
- Convergence properties
- Simulations
- Conclusion

## Definition

A pair  $(Y; X)$  is an equilibrium point for the model if

$$\begin{aligned} X &= \text{sgn}(Y - (Y)^>) \\ Y &= \frac{1}{N} X \cdot Y : \end{aligned}$$

## Proposition

A pair  $(Y; X) \in (0; 0)$  is an equilibrium point for the model if and only if

- i)  $X = pp^>$ , for some  $p \in \{1; -1\}^N$ ;
- ii)  $Y = p \begin{pmatrix} a_1 \\ a_2 \\ \vdots \\ a_m \end{pmatrix}$ , for some  $a_i \in \mathbb{R}$ ;  $\prod_{i=1}^m a_i^2 \neq 0$ .

$X = pp^>$  corresponds to a complete structurally balanced network.




Figure: Complete structurally balanced network.

The sign distribution of opinions mirrors the network partition into factions (**polarization!**).

- The model
- Equilibrium points
- **Convergence properties**
- Simulations
- Conclusion



$$Y(t+1) = \frac{1}{N} \text{sgn}(Y(t)Y(t)^T) Y(t)$$




Figure: Flow-chart for convergence.

- The model
- Equilibrium points
- Convergence properties
- **Simulations**
- Conclusion

Monte Carlo simulations validate the convergence properties of the model.

The figure shows how the average number of iterations needed to reach a structural balanced configuration over the total number of 30000 simulations varies as a function of the number of topics  $m \in [1;10]$ , for networks involving  $N = 9;20;100$  agents,  $Y_{ij}(0) \sim N(0;100)$ .

$$P(\hat{p} = p_j) = 1$$

- accuracy = 0.01
- confidence level  $1 - \alpha = 0.99$
- Estimated probability  $\hat{p}$  to reach a structurally balanced configuration,
  - $\hat{p} = 1$ , for  $N = 20; 100$ ,  $\delta m \in [1; 10]$
  - $\hat{p} = 0.98$   $N = 9$ ,  $\delta m \in [1; 10]$

- The model
- Equilibrium points
- Convergence properties
- Simulations
- **Conclusion**

We have proposed a modified version of the model in [1]<sup>2</sup> for the interplay between homophily-based appraisal dynamics and influence-based opinion dynamics.

Our model is easier to validate on real data, since we only rely on the type of interactions.

Nontrivial equilibria can always be reached in a finite number of steps. The case when all opinions and appraisals converge to zero corresponds to sets of initial conditions of zero measure.

---

<sup>2</sup>[1] F. Liu, S. Cui, W. Mei, F. Dörfler, and M. Buss. Interplay between homophily-based appraisal dynamics and influence-based opinion dynamics: Modeling and analysis. *IEEE Control Systems Letters*, 5(1):181–186, 2020.

Thanks for your attention!